|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Organization** |  |
| **Project Name** |  |
| **CoC Model/Component** | **PH** |
| **Populations Served** |  |
| **Date of Review** |  |
| **Reviewer** |  |
|   |
| **THRESHOLD CRITERIA** |
| **Criteria** | **Eligible** | **Ineligible** | **Eligible?** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Eligible entity | Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities. | Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.  |  | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Eligible population | Meets HUD requirements | Does NOT meet HUD requirements |   | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Date of Project App | Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.  | Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.  |   | **Project Application Submission Date** |
| HMIS | Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) | Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) |   | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Match | 25% match for everything but leasing. | No required match. |  | **Project Application** |
| HUD Monitoring | HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified. | HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.  |  | **Project Application** |
| Administrative Costs | Admin costs are not greater than 10% | Admin costs greater than 10%.  |   | **Project Application** |
| **EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS** |
| **PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS** |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Coordinated Entry Participation **Points Possible:**  | More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals **5** | 90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals**2.5** | Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals**0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application**(Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment, question 5.) |
| eLOCCS Draw Downs**Points Possible:**  | The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS and not returned funds to HUD in the past 3 grant cycles.**5** | The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down and returned less then 10 percent of their funding to HUD Annually. **2.5** | The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs and returned more then 10 percent of their funds to HUD annually. **0** | **\_\_/5** | **Financial Assessment** |
| **LOCAL CRITERIA** |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Housing First Assessment **Points Possible: 0-15** | Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment **13-15** | Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment **8-13** | Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment **0-8** | **\_\_15** | **Housing First Self-Assessment**(Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment.) |
| Racial equity**Points Possible:**  | Project has ongoing & active strategies that promote racial equity in programming, including training for staff. **5** | Project has some strategies implemented that promote racial equity in programming. **2.5** | Project does not have plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming. **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Evidence based, systemic approach to homelessness**Points Possible:** | Project utilizes and actively trains staff in evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)**5** | Project utilizes some evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)**2.5**  | Project does not utilize evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)**0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Culturally Specific/Responsive Programming**Points Possible:**  | Project provides culturally specific/responsive programming**5** | Project has plans to provide culturally specific/responsive programming**2.5** | Project does not provide culturally specific/responsive programming**0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Domestic Violence Policies **Points Possible:** | Project has implemented policies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.**5** | Project has plans to implementpolicies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.**2.5** | Project has no policies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.**0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| **PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Renewal Projects Only)** |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Exits to or retention of permanent housing (PSH only)**Points Possible:** | 93% or more of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project **10** | 92% or fewer of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project**5** | Less than 80% of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project**0** | **\_\_/10** | **Annual Performance Report**  |
| Returns to Homelessness **Points Possible:** | Less than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months **10** | 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months**5** | More than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months**0** | **\_\_/10** | **Annual Performance Report**  |
| Maintained or Increased Total Income (All Adults)**Points Possible:** | 65% or more of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment**5** | 55% of project participants maintained or increased total from project entry to annual assessment**2.5** | Less than 55% of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment**0** | **\_\_/5** | **Annual Performance Report**  |
| Total Points |  |  |  | **\_\_/70** | **Reviewer Comments:** |