|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization** | |  | | | |
| **Project Name** | |  | | | |
| **CoC Model/Component** | | **TH/RRH** | | | |
| **Populations Served** | |  | | | |
| **Date of Review** | |  | | | |
| **Reviewer** | |  | | | |
|  | | | | | |
| **THRESHOLD CRITERIA** | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Eligible** | | **Ineligible** | **Eligible?** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Eligible entity | Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities. | | Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column. |  | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Eligible population | Meets HUD requirements | | Does NOT meet HUD requirements |  | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Date of Project App | Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline. | | Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline. |  | **Project Application Submission Date** |
| HMIS | Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) | | Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) |  | **Project Application/Intent to Apply Form** |
| Match | 25% match for everything but leasing. | | No required match. |  | **Project Application** |
| HUD Monitoring | HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified. | | HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion. |  | **Project Application** |
| Administrative Costs | Admin costs are not greater than 10% | | Admin costs greater than 10%. |  | **Project Application** |
| **EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS** | | | | | |
| **PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS** | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Coordinated Entry Participation  **Points Possible:** | More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals  **5** | 90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals  **2.5** | Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application**  (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment, question 5.) |
| eLOCCS Draw Downs  **Points Possible:** | The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS and not returned funds to HUD in the past 3 grant cycles.  **5** | The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down and returned less then 10 percent of their funding to HUD Annually.  **2.5** | The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs and returned more then 10 percent of their funds to HUD annually.  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **Financial Assessment** |
| **LOCAL CRITERIA** | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Housing First Assessment  **Points Possible: 0-15** | Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment  **13-15** | Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment  **8-13** | Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment  **0-8** | **\_\_15** | **Housing First Self-Assessment**  (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment.) |
| Racial equity  **Points Possible:** | Project has ongoing & active strategies that promote racial equity in programming, including training for staff.  **5** | Project has some strategies implemented that promote racial equity in programming.    **2.5** | Project does not have plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming.  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Evidence based, systemic approach to homelessness  **Points Possible:** | Project utilizes and actively trains staff in evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)  **5** | Project utilizes some evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)  **2.5** | Project does not utilize evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Culturally Specific/Responsive Programming  **Points Possible:** | Project provides culturally specific/responsive programming  **5** | Project has plans to provide culturally specific/responsive programming  **2.5** | Project does not provide culturally specific/responsive programming  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| Domestic Violence Policies  **Points Possible:** | Project has implemented policies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.  **5** | Project has plans to implement  policies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.  **2.5** | Project has no policies that promote safety for those fleeing domestic violence, human trafficking, exploitation, stalking, and other forms of violence.  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **CoC Supplemental Application** |
| **PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Renewal Projects Only)** | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Score** | **Data Source(s)** |
| Exits to permanent housing (Transitional Housing Only)  **Points Possible:** | 75% or more of participants exit to a permanent destination  **10** | 70% or fewer of participants exit to a permanent  **5** | Less than 65% of participants exit to a permanent destination  **0** | **\_\_/10** | **Annual Performance Report** |
| Returns to Homelessness  **Points Possible:** | Less than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months  **10** | 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months  **5** | More than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months  **0** | **\_\_/10** | **Annual Performance Report** |
| Maintained or Increased Total Income (All Adults)  **Points Possible:** | 62% or more of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment  **5** | 55% of project participants maintained or increased total from project entry to annual assessment  **2.5** | Less than 50% of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment  **0** | **\_\_/5** | **Annual Performance Report** |
| Total Points |  |  |  | **\_\_/70 (TH)**  **\_\_/60 (RRH)** | **Reviewer Comments:** |